



for a living planet®

## **DISCUSSION PAPER (SUMMARY)**

### **UN REFORM Integrating Environment and Development by 2015**

This paper<sup>1</sup> examines three areas of UN reform that relate to the environment:

1. Integrating environment in development decision making: this requires the UN to facilitate the operationalization of sustainable development by strengthening the environmental pillar dimension to the level of political weight that is given to the other key issues. The Secretary-General's High Level Panel must take on sustainable development as its primary focus.
2. Strengthening the institutional architecture of the UN's environment-related activities: this requires the creation of a monitoring and review mechanism, and the provision of a feedback system to UN policy and norm making bodies. It also requires greater environmental expertise in the UN's operational activities.
3. Improving coherence within the UN's environmental work: this requires the clustering of the functions and operations of the secretariats of multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) so that synergies are generated, joint work plans are created and implemented, and resources are allocated so that they are put to their most effective uses. UN member states must create incentives for increasing cooperation among MEAs and the scheduling of MEA meetings back-to-back on related issues. The UN environment body also must act as a facilitator by bringing together focal points and expertise in MEAs at the national level.

The UN needs to transform its general practice of using belated and generally ineffective reactive tools to using compelling evidence of damage to anticipate and prevent problems, as well as to integrate solutions into development action. There must be monitoring of progress made in operational activities and the review of progress in the UN's norm and policy making body for the environment to examine results on the ground and provide swift, direct and effective responses to emerging challenges. Such a monitoring and review mechanism should retain decision making power in countries and create an international system that is responsive to country needs and global problems. The information generated through this independent process would be used to set international policies, incentives, standards, and benchmarks and to facilitate capacity-building efforts. It would ensure that countries and donors assess development proposals for social and ecosystem impacts, using the monitoring and review mechanism as a starting point.

The present reform must ensure that the Rio Principles are kept intact and that principle is given a central role in policy, research and implementation.

---

<sup>1</sup> The work of the consortium is made possible with financial support from the Ministry for the Environment and Territory, Italy, the Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA), United Kingdom and the Royal Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Norway.

## **Recommendations**

### ***Integrating Environment into Development Decision Making***

**By 2008**, UN Member States must fully finance and effectively implement UNEP's Bali Strategic Plan for Technology Support and Capacity-building (making sure UNEP is allowed to develop and strengthen a sound scientific basis). Institutions such as UNEP, UNDP and UNCTAD must provide technical assistance to developing countries in meeting their 2005 World Summit commitment to produce new, MDG-consistent national development strategies.

**By 2010**, Member States must create direct and effective channels of communication between environment-related norm and policy setting and operational activities to monitor environment and development trends and to facilitate implementation of norms and policies on the ground and establish sufficient regulatory incentives, both nationally and internationally, to correct market shortcomings and to recognize and account for environmental services in development policy.

**By 2015**, there must be full integration of sustainable development principles in all UN development policy-making and operational activities. Standards, benchmarks and models that include environmental considerations must ensure implementation throughout UN and IFI policies and implementation.

### ***The Institutional Framework for the UN System's Environment Activities***

**By 2008**, UN Member States must establish a sustainable funding mechanism providing increased, stable and predictable long-term funding to UN bodies dealing with the environment, and expand the work of UN regional offices in facilitating capacity building, technology transfer, information exchange, assessment and monitoring.

**By 2010**, UN Member States and UN country offices must have the capacity to access sufficient expertise from MEAs, and those with environment and development specialisation. UN Member States should create direct channels of communication with minimal layers between the UN environmental norm and policy setting body and operational activities to facilitate implementation, and establish a swift, direct and effective reporting mechanism to review progress in implementation of environmental policies through operational activities

**By 2015**, UN Member States must have in place a UN system for integrating environment and development that is responsive to country needs for achieving sustainable development and includes a function of a monitoring and review mechanism to assist, monitor, review, follow-up and report on progress of the implementation and renewal of UN environmental norms, agreements and policies on sustainable development.

### ***Coherence in the Normative Work in the field of Environment***

**By 2008**, UN Member States could create incentives for increasing cooperation among MEAs and the scheduling of back-to-back MEA meetings on related issues. Any new UN sustainable development system must act as a facilitator by bringing together focal points and expertise in MEAs at the national level.

**By 2010**, UN Member States should ensure: the clustering of MEA Secretariats where there is considerable overlap in expertise and mandate which in turn has hindered implementation; increased coherence among UN entities on cross-cutting issues concerning, among others, trade, and transnational environment-related crime; and the adoption of MEAs in areas where there are presently no international agreements, such as on protecting important biomes including the deep sea and the global commons.

**By 2015**, UN Member States must ensure the creation of a strong norm and policy setting UN body for the environment, which can effectively coordinate the full spectrum of UN environmental normative and policy work and direct its implementation.

## **1. Integrating Environment into Development Decision Making**

There must be an immediate shift in emphasis in UN development work from 'development' to 'sustainable development' and to protecting the delivery of environmental services. This goes beyond a focus on national policy making and implementation. The policies of UN bodies, international financial institutions (IFIs), international trade organs and others must undergo significant appraisals as to whether they address the

environmental challenges that the Earth faces today and will face in the near future. Many of these institutions currently contribute to the problems, and this situation cannot continue.

Efforts must be made to encourage full cost accounting of impacts of development on the environment, to develop approaches to adequately measure the sustainability of economic development and to put in place mechanisms that sustain our environment and social and economic security. There should be incentive structures established for institutional personnel to integrate environmental, social, human rights and gender equity aspects into policies and programmes. Existing incentive structures must be changed to reward sustainable development programming, integrate environment strongly into projects and consider the impacts on the poor and on the environment from development assistance. Efforts with a strong emphasis on public education regarding the principles of sustainable development and on public participation are necessary elements for the integration of environment in development decision making. UN policies on public participation, environmental accounting and social equity must be made mandatory and integration of such policies in country can be reported internationally in order to assess uptake.

### **International Financial Institutions (IFIs)**

UN environmental norm and policy making must not be separated from implementation activities that are coordinated through the IFIs. Some IFIs have mechanisms for considering the environmental, social, human rights and gender equity aspects of their policies and programmes, but most of these are largely confined to the periphery of decision-making and programme evaluation and have few impacts on programme implementation. IFIs must explore mechanisms with the essential elements of identifying the social and environmental consequences of current policies and practice, and adopting necessary remedies and amending policy and practice in the light of these experiences. IFIs should integrate sustainable development criteria into core economic models by strengthening upstream social and environmental impact assessments of both policy-based and project lending and incorporating the findings in programme implementation. They must also put in place incentive structures for institutional staff to integrate environmental, social, human rights and gender equity aspects into policies and programmes. The performance of IFIs on these measures must be subject to UN scrutiny.

### **Trade**

In terms of international trade, the World Trade Organization (WTO) is increasingly acting as a global governance organisation, and has extended its work programme to new areas of competence, thereby frustrating bodies that possess more appropriate expertise, including some MEAs. The development and enforcement of existing and new environmental legislation relating to MEAs should not be hindered by subordinating these policies to free trade and competition rules. It is critical that legislation to ensure sustainable development is not overruled by trade experts or international trade panels working in isolation from other concerns. Governments must grant the UN environment body and MEAs with trade-related provisions, objectives, or obligations, observer status and must make it clear that the WTO does not have a mandate to set rules or criteria that might in any way define or restrict the use or national implementation of any trade measures agreed to in MEAs. Ultimately, it should fall to an independent forum outside the WTO to examine the WTO-MEA relationship, as part of a coherent approach to addressing global challenges. The WTO agreements contain review clauses that should be used to analyse whether existing rules support and promote the development of sustainable societies, and conform with existing international law and obligations. National independent sustainability impact assessments are crucial in this regard.

The Secretary-General's High Level Panel on System Wide Coherence must not only address sustainable development; it must make it the overarching focus of the Panel's report. In turn, the General Assembly in its consultations on international environmental governance must agree to mechanisms that will greatly strengthen UN environment-related policy and norm setting and implementation so that it can address the depth of the crisis that we face and level out the political strengths of the three pillars of sustainable development.

## **2. The Institutional Framework for the UN System's Environment Activities**

Institutional change is immensely difficult and is not to be undertaken lightly - but it is essential. UNEP has made commendable efforts in integrating environment and development; however, a stronger, better financed, and more operationally focused UN system for integrating environment and development and ensuring environmental sustainability is needed. Whether such a body is a specialized agency or a UN sustainable development programme or other system, a key requirement is that it has stable, predictable and sustainable funding at a considerably greater level than UNEP currently receives. It must also be sufficiently linked to and integrated with development work so that while the importance of addressing

environmental problems is raised, the links between environment, economic development and social development are not lost.

The UN Secretary General's 'In Larger Freedom' report states that 'environmental activities at the country level should benefit from improved synergies, on both normative and operational aspects, between United Nations agencies, making optimal use of their comparative advantages'. In other words, the institutional architecture must include strong links between normative and operational activities in the environment and development fields.

Operational activities must be coordinated and efficient at the country level; but, they must also be able to make effective use of necessary expertise, including direct inputs and technical advice from a norm and policy focused UN environment body. At the country level there should be strong environment expertise within UN country offices to assist in the identification, assessment, mainstreaming and implementation of environment issues in development and poverty reduction activities. These activities should be financed through innovative financing mechanisms, including international levies on international activities, such as high-seas fishing or airplane flights that harm the global commons. Moreover, the challenges of globalization require a network of UN institutions that provides such responses through coordinated decision-making hierarchies and processes that have the fewest number of decision-making layers in order for them to provide fast, targeted and effective assistance. As noted above, a structure at the country level with immediate and direct links to expertise on environment issues is mandatory.

The UN needs to transform its general practice of using belated and generally ineffective reactive tools to using compelling evidence of damage to anticipate and prevent problems and integrate solutions into development action. There must be monitoring of progress made in operational activities and the review of progress in the UN's norm and policy making body for the environment to examine results on the ground and provide swift, direct and effective responses to emerging challenges. Such a monitoring and review mechanism would retain decision making power in countries and create an international system that is responsive to country needs and global problems. The information generated through this independent process would be used to set international policies, incentives, standards, and benchmarks and to facilitate capacity-building efforts. It would ensure that countries and donors assess development proposals for social and ecosystem impacts, using the monitoring and review mechanism as a starting point.

Any UN system responsible for integrating environment and development should review, assess and monitor progress in achieving environment and development goals at the national level, regional level and within international bodies including the IFIs and UN institutions. It would inform the international community of any role that a particular body is playing in worsening social and environmental conditions. It would use a monitoring and review mechanism and provide feedback to the bodies involved as well as to the lead UN development and environment bodies and the UN Secretary-General, each of which would then be required to publicly report on why benchmarks were not met and describe efforts (such as capacity building, information giving, funding or resource assistance) that are being taken to address deficiencies. Information from the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment could be used as a basis for setting benchmarks for development from an ecoregion perspective in order to ensure new development proposals were sustainable.

### **3. *Coherence in the Normative Work in the field of Environment***

In a large part, the basis for doing what has to be done already exists. There are hundreds of MEAs agreeing on frameworks, language, targets, timetables and benchmarks; however, coherence among the bodies that run these instruments is seriously lacking. Duplicative processes and actions that detract resources away from solving environmental problems must be avoided, while substantive work must not be simplified to the degree that it is made ineffective. Some coherence building activities have started, for example MoUs between UNEP and UNDP, the adoption of the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management (SAICM) and the creation of a single head of the Rotterdam Convention on Prior Informed Consent and the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants. This latter example of the clustering of MEAs by combining the work of bodies according to their comparative advantages is an important means to produce stronger results than those achieved through small, fragmented and competing bodies.

#### **Civil society stakeholders**

Wider input into the reform process should be sought from civil society including NGOs and scientists from various regions, both to ensure a wider ownership from “we, the peoples” as well as bringing the reform process in tune with the demands of the peoples living in this century. Sufficient time should be allotted to the reform process, even if this means extending the mandate of the panel.

A proper, functional and permanent position for civil society, including NGOs must be found within a reformed system, and consideration of the special concerns of civil society must be ensured, including accountability, participation and transparency. Moreover, concerns expressed by particular sectors of society, such as Indigenous Peoples, women, youth, workers, should be given special attention.

For more information, please contact:

Susan Brown, WWF International  
sbrown@wwfint.org

Jan Gustav Strandenaes, ANPED  
jgstr@online.no

Jan Rademaker, ANPED  
jan.rademaker@anped.org

Daniel Mittler, Greenpeace International  
daniel.mittler@int.greenpeace.org

Jean-Luc Roux, Greenpeace International  
jean-luc.roux@int.greenpeace.org

Gordon Shepherd, WWF International  
gshepherd@wwfint.org